

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of: Roger Q. SMITH et al.

Serial No.: 09/153,621

Filed: September 15, 1998

For: HEAVY-DUTY AUDIO EQUIPMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Examiner: T. Dinh

Group Art Unit: 2841

RECEIVED
FEB -5 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

APPEAL BRIEF

I, Adan Ayala, Reg. No. 38,373, certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington DC 20231 on 1-28-03

Adan-Ayala

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REAL PARTY INTEREST	2
III. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	2
IV. STATUS OF CLAIMS	2
V. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	2
VI. SUMMARY OF INVENTION	2
VII. ISSUE	4
VIII. GROUPING OF CLAIMS	4
IX. ARGUMENT	4
Claims 1-6 are Patentable under 35 USC § 102(b) Over Healey.	4
A. Healey does not Disclose any Audio Circuitry.	5
B. Healey does not a Protective Bar Flexibly Connected to the Housing.	8
K. APPENDICES	10
KI. CONCLUSION	10
	10



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of: Roger Q. SMITH et al.

Serial No.: 09/153,621

Examiner: T. Dinh

Filed: September 15, 1998

Group Art Unit: 2841

For: HEAVY-DUTY AUDIO EQUIPMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

APPEAL BRIEF

I, Adan Ayala, Reg. No. 38,373, certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington DC 20231 on

1-28- 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Sir:

I. INTRODUCTION

A final Office Action was mailed on November 7, 2002. In response to the final Office Action, a Notice of Appeal was mailed on January 3, 2003, for the above-identified application. The present appeal brief is being timely filed in triplicate, as required under 37 CFR § 1.192.

02/04/2003 CVD111

00000069 022548 09153621

01 FC:1402

320.00 CH

II. REAL PARTY INTEREST

The real party in interest in the present case is Black & Decker Inc. An assignment transferring all rights to the present application and resulting patents was filed in the present application. The assignment was recorded on November 2, 1998 and can be found at Reel 9560, Frame 0625.

III. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

No other appeals or interferences related to the present case are currently pending.

IV. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-6 and 15-20 are currently pending in the present application.

Claims 1-6 are rejected and are presently appealed.

V. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments were filed in response to the Final Office Action. None amended the claims.

VI. SUMMARY OF INVENTION

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.192 and MPEP § 1206, Applicant/appellant hereby provides a concise explanation of the inventions defined in the claims involved in the present appeal. This

explanation refer to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, as required by the CFR and the MPEP rules. However, the following explanation only refers to the embodiments disclosed in the specification and does not discuss alternative mechanisms that would be covered by the claims. Accordingly, the following explanation should not be used to limit the scope of the claims.

Independent Claim 1 calls for an audio equipment 10 comprising a housing 11 and an audio circuitry installed within the housing 11. Spec., p. 2, lns. 16-18. The audio equipment 10 also comprises a first protective bar 20 flexibly connected to the housing 11. Spec., p. 3, lns. 12-13.

Claim 2 is dependent upon Claim 1, and thus includes all the elements of Claim 1 described above. Claim 2 further defines that the audio equipment 10 further comprises a handle 21 attached to the first protective bar 20. Spec., p. 3, lns. 21-22.

Claim 3 is dependent upon Claim 1, and thus includes all the elements of Claim 1 described above. Claim 3 further defines that the audio equipment 10 further comprises a connector assembly 30 flexibly connecting the first protective bar 20 to the housing 11. Spec., p. 4, lns. 3-6.

Claim 4 is dependent upon Claim 3, and thus includes all the elements of Claim 3 described above. Claim 4 further defines that the connector assembly 30 comprises a flexible gasket 31. Spec., p. 4, lns. 6-8.

Claim 5 is dependent upon Claim 4, and thus includes all the elements of Claim 4 described above. Claim 5 further defines that the flexible gasket 31 is disposed between the first protective bar 20 and the housing 11. Spec., p. 4, lns. 8-10.

Claim 6 is dependent upon Claim 1, and thus includes all the elements of Claim 1 described above. Claim 6 further defines that the audio equipment 10 further comprises a second protective bar 20 flexibly connected to the housing 11. Spec., p. 3, lns. 12-13 & 20-21.

VII. ISSUE

Whether Claims 1-6 are anticipated under 35 USC § 102(b) over US Patent No. 4,480,809 ("Healey").

VIII. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

For the § 102(b) rejection, Claims 1-6 stand together.

IX. ARGUMENT

Claims 1-6 are Patentable under 35 USC § 102(b) Over Healey.

The Board should reverse the Examiner's improper final rejection of Claims 1-6 under 35 USC § 102(b) based on Healey, as Healey does not disclose all the claimed elements.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union*

Oil Co. of California, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). In the present case, Healey fails to disclose at least two of the claimed elements.

Claim 1 calls for an audio equipment comprising a housing, an audio circuitry installed within the housing, and a first protective bar flexibly connected to the housing. Claims 2-6 are ultimately dependent upon Claim 1.

Admittedly, Healy discloses a fishfinder including a housing containing sonar circuitry, and that the housing is connected to a base 14. However, Healy does not disclose any audio circuitry. In addition, Healy does not disclose a first protective bar flexibly connected to the housing.

Applicants/Appellant will address each failure in separate sections.

A. Healey does not Disclose any Audio Circuitry.

In the Office Actions, the Examiner admitted that no audio circuitry was shown in Healey. Instead, the Examiner noted that the audio circuitry is inherent to the sonar.

It is true that an inherent disclosure of a prior art reference may be relied upon in the rejection of claims under 35 USC § 102(b). MPEP § 2112, at 2100-51 (8th ed., Aug. 2001). However, to establish inherence, "the extrinsic evidence 'must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is necessarily present in the thing described in the reference, and that it would be so recognized by persons of ordinary skill." *In re Robertson*, 49 USPQ2d 1949, 1950-51 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Accordingly, "the examiner must provide a basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the allegedly inherent characteristic

necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied prior art." Ex parte Levy, 17 USPQ2d 1461, 1464 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990). However, the fact that a certain characteristic may be present in the prior art is not sufficient to establish the inherence of that characteristic. In re Rikckaert, 28 USPQ2d 1955, 1957 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In other words, the Examiner must show that audio circuit is necessarily found in all fishfinders circa 1982, i.e., the filing date of Healy. Why in all fishfinders? Because if the feature is not present in all fishfinders, then the feature is necessarily present in fishfinders, and thus cannot be considered inherent to fishfinders. In addition, the Examiner must show that the audio circuitry is necessarily within the housing, rather than outside of the housing.

Rather than provide such proof, the Examiner argued that Healey discloses an instrument, which, according to Webster's, means "a device to product/perform [sic] a music." The Examiner then inferred that the Healey fishfinder had some audio card (and thus the claimed audio circuitry) to produce the sounds or music. Applicants/Appellant believe that the Examiner's inferential leap is invalid.

Evidence of this is the fact that many other instruments exist that do not have any audio circuitry. For example, according to Webster's, "instrument" means, among other things, "utensil, implement (surgical ~s)." Thus, a scapel, which is a surgical implement or instrument, is an instrument. Based on the Examiner's logic, all scapels would have an audio card to

¹ Because the Examiner did not provide a complete citation to the Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, e.g., which one of the published ten editions is he quoting from, or provided a copy of the dictionary definition, Applicants/Appellant have not been able to verify the Examiner's allegations. Nevertheless, for the following definitional discussion, Applicants/Appellant refer to

produce sounds or music, as all instruments produce sounds. Applicants/Appellants pose that a scapel with an audio card is rarely found, if any do in fact exist.

The Examiner's mistake arose when the Examiner ignored all the other definitions and, rather than choose the most appropriate one, he selected the most convenient one. Webster's has multiple definitions for "instrument," including "1a: a means whereby something is achieved, performed, or furthered," "3: an implement used to produce music," "5a: a legal document," "a measuring device for determining the present value of a quantity under observation; ... a device (as for controlling, recording, regulating, computing) that functions on data obtained by such a measuring device," etc.

Applicants/Appellant submit that the Healey fishfinder instrument is neither a musical instrument nor a legal instrument. Instead, Applicants/Appellant believe that the primary definition, i.e., "a means whereby something is achieved, performed, or furthered," is the most relevant. In this case, the Healey fishfinder instrument is a means to achieve something, i.e., finding fish, or a measuring device for determining and/or computing the present value of fish underwater. Admittedly, these definitions are inconvenient to the Examiner's case as they do not suggest any audio circuitry, i.e., the missing claimed element. However, they are the most relevant to the present discussion. As such, arguing that the Healey fishfinder has an audio card because it is an instrument that can produce sound is incorrect.

To summarize, Applicants/Appellant had requested proof from the Examiner that audio circuitry was necessarily present in all circa-1982 fishfinders, thus making audio circuitry an

the Webster's Third New International Dictionary, with its definition of the word "instrument,"

inherent element in the Healey fishfinder. The Examiner failed to provide such evidence.

Instead, the Examiner relied on the wrong definition of "instrument" to find such audio circuitry, whereas, under the primary definition of "instrument," no such audio circuitry is necessarily found.

Based on the foregoing, the Examiner has failed to show that the Healey reference discloses all the claimed elements. Therefore, Healey cannot anticipate Claims 1-6.

Accordingly, the Board should reverse the improper rejection of Claims 1-6 based on Healey and allow the application.

B. Healey does not a Protective Bar Flexibly Connected to the Housing.

In addition to lacking the claimed audio circuitry, Healey fails to disclose a protective bar flexibly connected to the housing, as called for in Claim 1.

First of all, as disclosed in Healey, the base 14, which includes arm support 16, does not serve to protect the housing. For example, Applicants/Appellant note that base 14 is "adapted to rest on a support surface or be affixed to a support surface such as a portion of a boat or the like." Healy, col. 2, lns. 19-22. In other words, the base 14 only serves to support the fishfinder in place. Therefore, the base 14 is not a "protective bar" as called for in Claim 1. Thus, Healy cannot anticipate Claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Furthermore, base 14 is not flexibly connected to the housing as called for in Claim 1. Such arrangement is critical and advantageous, as evidenced by the declaration of Michael L.

as well as the copyright page, attached hereto as Appendix B.

O'Banion, a person of ordinary skill in the art, which was previously submitted in the present case and attached hereto as Appendix C.

According to Healy, housing 10 has a trunnion 26 which receives resilient washer 30. A knob 34 has a screw 36 threadingly engaged to trunnion 26. A second resilient washer 38 is disposed between knob 34 and base 14. Accordingly, base 14 is sandwiched between resilient washers 30, 38, as shown in FIG. 2 of Healey. To fix the angular position of housing 10 relative to base 14, knob 34 is tightened, compressing washers 30, 38, which provide enough friction accordingly. Because of this compression, base 14 and its component arm 16 are not flexibly connected to the housing. App. C, para. 12.

By contradistinction, Claim 1 requires "a first protective bar flexibly connected to the housing." Since Healy does not teach or suggest such feature, it cannot anticipate Claim 1 or its dependent claims.

Rather than address Mr. O'Banion's technical remarks, the Examiner ignores such remarks and alleges that Applicants'/Appellant's argument fails because Applicants/Appellant fail "to claim the contest provision [sic]" and that "[n]on [sic] of the claims 1-6 teach 'the protective bar is provided to protect the housing from being destroyed at a jobsite: (1), [sic] tools being dropped on the housing, or (2), [sic] the radio falling down."

While it is true that Claims 1-6 do not claim that the protective bar is provided to protect in those two specific situations, Applicants/Appellant note that such counter-argument misses the point. Applicants/Appellant have provided evidence that the base 14 is not flexibly connected to the housing. The Examiner has not questioned the substance of the evidence or provided any

counter-argument or evidence against such point. Accordingly, the Board should follow the evidence and find that Healey does not disclose a protective bar flexibly connected to the housing.

Because such element is missing from Healey, it cannot anticipate Claims 1-6. Therefore, the Board should reverse the Examiner's rejection based on Healey, and allow the application.

X. APPENDICES

Applicants/Appellant has attached three appendices: (a) Appendix A--a copy of the claims involved in the appeal; (b) Appendix B--a copy of the definition "instrument" according to the Webster's Third New International Dictionary; and (c) Appendix C—a copy of the declaration of Michael L. O'Banion, which was previously filed in the present case.

XI. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Applicants/Appellant urges the Board to rule that Claims 1-6 are patentable over Healey, and allow the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Adan Ayala

PTO Reg. No. 38,373

Attorney for Applicants/Appellant

Appendices attached



APPENDIX A

- 1. An audio equipment comprising:
 - a housing;

an audio circuitry installed within the housing; and
a first protective bar flexibly connected to the housing.

2. The equipment of Claim 1, further comprising a handle attached to the first ive bar. protective bar.

- 3. The equipment of Claim 1, further comprising a connector assembly flexibly connecting the first protective bar to the housing.
- 4. The equipment of Claim 3, wherein the connector assembly comprises a flexible gasket.
- 5. The equipment of Claim 4, wherein the flexible gasket is disposed between the first protective bar and the housing.
- 6. The equipment of Claim 1, further comprising a second protective bar flexibly connected to the housing.



Webster's Third New International Dictionary

OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE
UNABRIDGED

a Merriam-Webster
REG. U. S. PAT. OFF.

Utilizing all the experience and resources of more than one hundred years of Merriam-Webster® dictionaries

EDITOR IN CHIEF
PHILIP BABCOCK GOVE, Ph.D.

THE MERRIAM-WEBSTER

EDITORIAL STAFF

AND



MERRIAM-WEBSTER INC., Publishers

SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS, U.S.A.



A GENUINE MERRIAM-WEBSTER

The name Webster alone is no guarantee of excellence. It is used by a number of publishers and may serve mainly to mislead an unwary buyer.

A Merriam-Webster[®] is the registered trademark you should look for when you consider the purchase of dictionaries or other fine reference books. It carries the reputation of a company that has been publishing since 1831 and is your assurance of quality and authority.

COPYRIGHT © 1986 BY MERRIAM-WEBSTER INC.

PHILIPPINES COPYRIGHT 1986 BY MERRIAM-WEBSTER INC.

WEBSTER'S THIRD NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY PRINCIPAL COPYRIGHT 1961

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Main entry under title:

Webster's third new international dictionary of the English language, unabridged.

Includes index.
1. English language—Dictionaries. I. Gove,
Philip Babcock, 1902-1972. II. Merriam-Webster Inc.
PE1625.W36 1986 423 85-31018
ISBN 0-87779-201-1 (blue Sturdite)
ISBN 0-87779-206-2 (imperial buckram)

All rights reserved. No part of this work covered by the copyrights hereon may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without written permission of the publisher.

MADE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4041kp8887

also: such use of public institutions (with declining family solidarity ~ became increasingly important in the care of the sick, the unwanted, the aged) b: the characteristics (as regimentation, standardization, and impersonality) that are associated with institutional life (there was no ~ about this happy home) 3 a: the doctrines and teachings of institutional economics b: a theory that regards the establishment and maintenance of institutions (as for education, charity, and social activities) as an essential function of a church in-stitutional-ist \-1st, \-1st, \-1s\-\nu n-s 1 \: a writer on or of institutes esp. of the law 2: an adherent to, teacher of, or tional institutions

accustom (a person) so firmly to the care and supervised routine of an institution as to make incapable of managing a life outside in.stitution.ary \(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. (\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. (\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. \(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. \(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. \(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\)(ty)isha,nerg. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(ty) of or relating to institution in office (an \simple banquet) in.stit.tiolon.ize \(\frac{t_2}{t_2}\)(ty)isha,nerg. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(ty) \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(tr. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(tr. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(tr. \(\frac{t_2}{t_2}\)(tr. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(tr. \(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\)(tr

flowing water 2 instreaming \(\) \(n \] [4in + streaming \(\) gerund of stream (after stream in, \(\) \) : the action of entering like a stream of water : a flowing in (the ... ~ of beauty and truth — Cecil Strings)

on stream (ander stream) in, v,]]; streaming in; entering like a stream (lowing water "N [4in + streaming, gerund of stream (lotter stream in, v,)]; the action of entering like a stream of (lotter stream in, v,)]; the action of entering like a stream of (lotter stream) in; v, l]; the action of entering like a stream of (lotter stream) in the stream of the stream o

\(\starting{\text{total}}\) \(\lambda \text{total}\) \(\lambda \text{to

lowing ascending order of ranks: \sim , assistant professor, associate professor, professor and it of or relating to an instruct of miserable \sim salaries) (\sim carelessness) in-structor of ship \angle anzirokta(r), ship, >n'st\ n: the position or status of an instructor (obtained an \sim at the university) in-structores \-ktras\ n -\ess \[\instructor + -\epsilon x \] a lemale

instructor
in-stru-ment \'inztromont, 'in(t)stro-\ n -s [ME, ir. L instrumontum. fr. instruere to construct, equip, arrange, instruct +

| mentum -ment] 1 a: a means whereby something is achieved, performed, or furthered (the modern university is the ~ for preserving, enlarging, and disseminating our ever-increasing body of knowledge—Harlan Hatcher) b: a person or group made use of by another as a means of aid: DUDE, TOOL (suspecting ... that I only wished to make an ~ of him—W.H. Hudson (1972) 2: UTENSIA INDIFFRENC (SUSPECTING ... that I only wished to make an ~ of him—W.H. Hudson (1972) 3: UTENSIA INDIFFRENCE (SUSPECTING ... that I only wished to make an ~ of him—W.H. Hudson (1972) 3: UTENSIA INDIFFRENCE (SUSPECTING ... that I only wished to make an ~ of him—W.H. Hudson (1972) 3: UTENSIA INDIFFRENCE (SUSPECTING ... THE MEAN THAT I ONLY A CONTROLLED TO THE MEAN THAT I THE M

"in the conception that the significant factor of a thing is its value as an instrument; speed; the doctrine that ideas are instruments of action and that their usefulness determines their truth.

Im. stru.men.tal.ist \right\rig

instruments are mounted;
esp: DASHBOARD 2
instrumented past of IN-

csy: DASHIDOARO 2
instrumented past of INSTRUMENT
instrument flight n: an
airplane flight made on instruments: blind flight
instrument sylind flight
instrument sylind in air
pation solely according to
information given by instruments within an airplane usu, including radio or
struments within an airplane usu, including radio or
contrasted with contact llying
instrument lianding n: a landing made with no external visibility and solely by means of instruments within an airplane usu, including system n: a system for airplane landing
instrument landing n: a landing made with no external visibility and solely by means of instruments within an airplane
and by ground radio directive devices; blind landing
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the contact of the control
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the control
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the control
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the control
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the control
in which the pilot is guided by radio beams—abbt. ILS;
comparation of the control of the control
in strument part of instrument properties of the control
instrument part of instrument living
instruments plot instrument lying is required
instyle verified to do instrument lying is required
instyle verified to the control
instyle verified with and instrument
instrument weather n weather in which the ground is so
invisible from the air that instrument lying is required
instyle verified with the control
instrument to the control
inst

government) in-submersible \"+\ adj [insubmersible fr. in-+ submersible or in-submersible prob. fr. F. fr. inin-+ submersus (past part, of submerser to submerse) +
F-ible]: incapable of sinking
in-submissive \"+\ adj [in-+ submissive]; unwilling to
submit

submit
'In.subordinate\''+\ adj [lin-+ subordinate]: not subordinate as a : unwilling to submit to authority: DISOREDIENT,
MUTINOUS (~ boys) b : not holding a lower or inferior posi-

insular script

tion (the bankers of Antwerp placed no limit on their enterprise: economic activity was not subordinate; it had becomfrom the medieval point of view, ~ Stringfellow Barr)

Syn Rebrillows, MUTINOUS, SEDITIOUS, FACTIOUS, CONTING CONSTITUTION, SUBDODIDINATA applies to disobedience of order infraction of rules, or a generally disaffected attitude lower acconstituted (Insubordinate deckhands conflicted to the brig (Insubordinate native troops feeling that they were being discriminated against). Reflectious may suggest forced to the brig (Insubordinate native troops feeling that they were being discriminated against). Reflectious, suggest forced to rinsurgence against authority in addition to insubordinate proposing to set up their own independent republic) (fee peramentally rebellious, instinctively disliking externally imposed authority by destroying discipline and order or new stayed in the new land, a devolate sandy waste, while the multimous soldiers cursed him —Amer. Guide Series: Call), (the guards might be overpowered, the place forced, the king: prisoner in the hands of his nutinous subjects — I. B. Macauling to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow a government or foster separative to weaken or overthrow and the proposition will be vigilant but only the proposition of the proposition will be vigilant but of the proposition of the proposition will be vigilant but of the proposition of the propos

ht. the act of blowing (as a gas, powder, or vapro) into a cavity of the body (~ of gas into a fallopian tube to determine its patence)

i. a device for insufflating; as A; an injector for forcing air m.suf.flator V'in (10s.a,fläd-ofr), an'so-\n a. s. tinsufflate + or]; a device for insufflating; as A; an injector for forcing air into a furnace B; a device used in medical insufflation (as of a drug) C; a device for blowing the powder used in developing latent fingerprints (as in criminal investigation)

in. suela \in(1)s(y)alo, 'insho-\n, pl insu-lae \id\, i.\(i)\), \(in(1)s,pl')

II, lit., island — more at istel 1; an ancient Roman building or a group of buildings standing together forming a block or square and usu. constituting an apartment building 2 {Nl. fr. l.}; island b or real istel 1; an an apartment building 2 {Nl. fr. l.}; island bor real istel 1; an ancient Roman building 2 {Nl. fr. l.}; island bor real istel 1; insulation of the limitation of characteristic of isolated people c: NARROW, CREMESCREED, LILLIERAL, PREJUDICED 4 [Nl. limitation from isolation or characteristic of isolated people c: NARROW, CREMESCREED, LILLIERAL, PREJUDICED 4 [Nl. limitation from isolation or characteristic of isolated people c: NARROW, CREMESCREED, LILLIERAL, PREJUDICED 4 [Nl. limitation from isolation or characteristic of isolated people c: NARROW, CREMESCREED, LILLIERAL, PREJUDICED 4 [Nl. limitation from isolation or clating to an island of cells or tissue (as the islets of Langerhaus or islands of Reill)

illimitation of the limitation of the limitation of the limitation of th

insular celtic n. usu cap I&C: the Celtic languages excluding Gaulish insular hand or insular script n. usu cap I: a script characterized by thick initial strokes and heavy shading developed from half uncial under the influence of uncial by Irish scribes about the 5th and 6th centuries A.D. and used in England until the Norman conquest and in Ireland with modifications to the present day in-su-lar-ism \-la-rizm\n -s: the quality or state of being insular and csp. of exhibiting narrowness and rigidity of outlook or mind in-su-lar-ism \-la-rizm\n -si-\frac{1}{2} -si\frac{1}{2} -i also -!\text{ler-N} n -s: 1: the quality or state of being an island or consisting of islands or in stoleton b; narrowness or illiberality of opinion or custom b in the state of the state

custom
in-su-jar-ize \"= |a_r|z\ vt -ED/-ING/-s [Iinsular + -ize]; to
form into or represent as an island
in-su-lar-iy adv 1; in an insular manner; NARROWLY,
RIGIDITY (an ~ prejudjecd mind) 2; throughout an island
(~ distributed Philippine plants)